UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,326	12/12/2003	Sladjana Petrovic	38898-0059	9081
23577 RIDOUT & MA	7590 07/16/200 AYB EE	EXAMINER		
SUITE 2400	TDEET EAST	JOHNSON, CARLTON		
ONE QUEEN STREET EAST TORONTO, ON M5C3B1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA		2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/733,326	PETROVIC, SLADJ	ANA	
Examiner	Art Unit		
CARLTON V. JOHNSON	2136		

	CARLTON V. JOHNSON	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ided below or appended.	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 						
13. Other:						
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136	/Carlton V. Johnson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2136					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Response to Remarks

Amendments changing the decrypted "session token" to "session ID and a timestamp" have changed the scope of these particular claims. For example the scope of claims 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12 have been changed by taking claim limitations from claim 5 and its placement into claim 1. The scope of other claims has been also changed.

Applicant argues that hindsight was used to construct the 103 rejection. Applicant is reminded that in response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Applicant mentions an indirect route used for the transfer of the session ID and timestamp. (Remarks Page 10) The inclusion of an indirect route (passing to a browser along with a redirect request) does not change the fact that the claim limitation is met. The specification clearly discloses that multiple paths (embodiments) can be used to transfer the session ID and timestamp between the server systems (see Specification Paragraph [0039]). The final result is the transfer of the information (session ID and timestamp).

Williams prior art discloses session token including session information such as a session ID and a timestamp. (see Williams paragraph [0062], lines 9-16; paragraph [0050], lines 1-5: session token, session ID and timestamp; paragraph [0067], lines 12-18: redirection of session information) Wood prior art discloses the capability to transfer a session token between network-connected systems during session. (see Wood paragraph [0044], lines 8-14; paragraph [0051], lines 1-3: session token with redirection request)